An Overview of Septage Management in Asia – Challenges and Opportunities



Background

- The Asia-Pacific region, with over 4.6 billion people by 2016, is the home of nearly 60% of the world population.
- Rapid population growth, urbanization, industrialization and changes in consumption patterns, including shifting diets toward highly water-intensive foods such as meats, which have led to a significant increase in water demand, and placed a huge burden on water infrastructures in the region.
- It is estimated that from 80% to 90% of generated wastewater, especially in developing countries within the region, is discharged directly into water bodies without any treatment or only partially treated by simple on-site sanitation systems such as septic tanks, causing substantial levels of contamination in ground and surface water sources, as well as coastal ecosystems, and placing a huge economic impact.



3

Common Challenges and Impacts of Poor Septage Management in Asia

- Predominance of septic tanks with its poor performance/maintainance as effective onsite wastewater treatment system, but considered as diffuse sources of pollution in many urban areas.
- Lack of proper septage management, including ineffective emptying, collection & transport, treatment and disposal system.



Fig. 1. Discharge of collected septage at "dumping points" in Bandung; and effluent from septage treatment plant in Denpasar, Indonesia (Source: Author)



. Negative impacts on surface and groundwater quality

- Huge economic costs due to poor sanitation

A study from the World Bank in the East Asia and Pacific region—Indonesia, Philippines and Vietnam, showed that the previously described situation has caused huge socioeconomic, ecological and environmental negative impacts in the studied countries, including Indonesia, Philippines and Vietnam, with a total cost of US\$8.5 billion. The breakdown of this cost includes Vietnam—US\$780 million, or 1.3 percent of GDP; Philippines— US\$1.4 billion, or 1.5 percent of GDP; and Indonesia—US\$6.3 billion, or 2.3 percent of the GDP (World Bank, 2013)

SDG 6



From MDGs to SDGs – Addressing unfinished business



Updated JMP ladders for drinking water and sanitation and a new ladder for hygiene



Big Gaps between "Science - Reality"

Science seems to be clear...but Reality & Future is NOT



Septage management requires an integrated system level approach, considering the overall sanitation service chain and incorporating all relevant aspects (including technological, legal and institutional framework, financial, etc.), and especially there are strong needs for appropriate business models for septage management in the region

Costs of Extending WASH Access under SDGs (2016–30) Compared with MDGs (2000–15), (World Bank, 2017)



Source: World Bank/UNICEF 2017. Note: WASH = water supply, sanitation, and hygiene.

Actual Case Study in Indonesia – Business Models for Septage Management in Urban Areas



10

UNIVERSAL ACCESS IN INDONESIA BY 2019

<u>SANITATION TARGETS</u> (2010 - 2014)

Open Defecation Free (ODF)

- 10% off-site sanitation (through 5% City-wide sewerage system and 5% DEWATS)
- 90% on-site sanitation

<u>SANITATION TARGETS</u> (2015 – 2019)

UNIVERSAL ACCESS 100 - 0 - 100

- 100% safe drinking water
- 0% slums
- 100% improved sanitation, including faecal sludge management.

National Medium-Term Development Plan 2015-2019

- Off-site sanitation (centralized and decentralized sewerage systems): 15%.
- The remaining 85% of household replying on on-site sanitation and faecal sludge treatment



Meeting at the Ministry of Public Work







Focus Group Discussion



Meeting at the PDAM -Bandung



Meeting with Bandung Depart. of Public Work



Septage collection point at household



Collected septage from households



Meeting at the ITB



Visit Bojongsoang WWTP



Meeting with Environmental Pollution Control Section of West Java Province Environmental Protection Agency/ and Bandung City Environmental Protection Agency



Questionnaire survey at households



Meeting with Local Planning and Development Unit/ Cleaning and Landscaping Agency/Public Works Department/Health Department/Environmental Services Agency of Denpasar City

Institutional Framework for Septage Management (Theoretically)

Schematic representation of different organisational arrangements for distribution of operational responsibilities among stakeholders (one block represents one stakeholder).

(Source: Bassan, 2016)

Institutional Framework for Septage Management (Actually)

Septage Samplings and Laboratory Analysis at ITB

Challenges of Accurate Estimation on Generated Amount of Septage

Septage Flow Diagram in Bandung

STAKEHOLDER CONSULTATIONS ON APPROPRIATE BUSINESS MODELS FOR SEPTAGE MANAGEMENT

Opportunities for Private Sector to be Involved in the Sector Area

(Source: Tilley and Dodane, 2016)

Model 3: Parallel tax and discharge fee model

Model 4: Dual licensing and sanitation tax model

Existing Model for Septage Management in Bandung

Proposed Business Model for Septage Management in Bandung 1

Proposed Business Model for Septage Management in Bandung 2

Advantages and Disadvantages of Each Model

Model	Advantages	Disadvantages
Model 1: Sanitation Fee	 a. Every households' that are not connected to the sewer may have lower costs from cross subsidies; b. Unlimited discharges minimise risk of illegal dumping c. Collection and coverage increases 	Need to make the most appropriate payment scheme so that it can include people who are not PDAM clean water customers.
Model 2: Full private	 a. A single operator is able to optimise the business model and improve efficiency; b. The government does not need to spend fund to improve the management of septage. 	 a. The government should exercise more intense supervision as all services have been undertaken by the private sector. b. Potential underserved communities. c. The cost of desludging will be greater because the private sector is profit oriented.

THE WAY FORWARD

THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR YOUR ATTENTION!

Acknowledgements: The authors would like to express their gratitude to the Ministry of the Environment of Japan for providing financial supports through the project entitled "Integrated Approach for Dissemination of Decentralized Domestic Wastewater Treatment System in Southeast Asia" (1-1603).